Arid West Streamflow Duration Assessment Method

General site information

Project name or number:

Site code or identifier:

Assessor(s):

Waterway name:

Visit date:

Current weather conditions (check one):
□ Storm/heavy rain
□ Steady rain
□ Intermittent rain
□ Snowing
□ Cloudy (___ % cover)
□ Clear/sunny

Notes on current or recent weather conditions (e.g., precipitation in prior week):

Coordinates at downstream end (decimal degrees):

Lat (N):

Long (E):

Datum:

Surrounding land-use within 100 m (check one or two):
□ Urban/industrial/residential
□ Agricultural (farmland, crops, vineyards, pasture)
□ Developed open-space (e.g., golf course)
□ Forested
□ Other natural
□ Other:

Describe reach boundaries:

Mean bankfull channel width (m): _____
(Indicator 1)

_____ _____ _____

Reach length (m):
40x width
min 40 m
max 200 m

Site photographs:
Enter photo ID or check if completed.

Top down: _____ Mid down: _____

Mid up: _____ Bottom up: _____

Disturbed or difficult conditions (check all that apply):
□ Recent flood or debris flow
□ Stream modifications (e.g., channelization)
□ Diversions
□ Discharges
□ Drought
□ Vegetation removal/limitations
□ Other (explain in notes)
□ None

Notes on disturbances or difficult site conditions:

Observed hydrology:

_____ % of reach with surface flow

_____ % of reach with sub-surface or surface flow

_____ # of isolated pools

Comments on observed hydrology:

Site sketch:

1. Mean bankfull channel width (m) (nearest 0.1 m, copy from first page of field form)

Notes about mean bankfull channel width:

2. Aquatic macroinvertebrates: Abundance of perennial indicator taxa

Collect aquatic macroinvertebrates from at least 6 locations in the assessment reach, searching all suitable habitats on the streambed (including dry habitats, if present). Determine total abundance of individuals in perennial indicator families listed below, such that no one family counts for more than 11 individuals in the total.

Ephemeroptera	Plecoptera	Trichoptera	Coleoptera
Ephemerellidae (spiny crawler mayflies)	Chloroperlidae (green stoneflies)	Brachycentridae (humpless casemakers)	Elmidae (riffle beetles)
Heptageniidae (flathead mayflies)	Perlidae (common stoneflies)	Glossosomatidae (saddle casemakers)	
Leptohyphyidae (little stout crawler mayflies)		Hydropsychidae (common netspinners)	
Leptophlebiidae (prong-gilled mayflies)		Rhyacophilidae (free-living caddisflies)	

Mark the appropriate box for the number of perennial indicator individuals observed.

☐ No perennial indicator taxa detected
☐ 1 to 4 perennial indicator individuals
☐ 5 to 9 perennial indicator individuals
☐ 10 to 19 perennial indicator individuals
☐ 20 or more perennial indicator individuals

Check if applicable: ☐ No aquatic macroinvertebrates in assessment area

Notes on perennial indicator taxa:

3. Slope

Using a clinometer or other device, record the slope as a percent, up to the nearest half-percent.

Notes about slope:

4. Number of hydrophytic plant species

Record up to 6 hydrophytic plant species (FACW or OBL in the appropriate regional wetland plant list, depending on location) within the assessment area: **within the channel or up to one half-channel width outside the channel**. Explain in notes if species has an odd distribution (e.g., one individual or small patch, long-lived species solely represented by seedlings, or long-lived species solely represented by specimens in decline), or if there is uncertainty about the identification. Enter photo ID or check if photos are taken.

_____ Number of hydrophytic plant species identified from the assessment reach without odd distribution. Enter zero if none were found.

Check if applicable: ☐ No vegetation in assessment area

Species	Odd distribution?	Notes	Photo ID

Notes on hydrophytic vegetation:

5. Prevalence of rooted upland plants in the streambed

____ (0-3) *Half-scores (0.5, 1.5, and 2.5) are allowed.*	Evaluate the prevalence of rooted upland plants (i.e., plants rated as FAC, FACU, UPL, NI, or not listed in the regionally appropriate National Wetland Plant List) in the streambed. 0 (Poor) Rooted upland plants are *prevalent* within the streambed/thalweg. 1 (Weak) Rooted upland plants are *consistently dispersed* throughout the streambed/thalweg. 2 (Moderate) There are *a few* rooted upland plants present within the streambed/thalweg. 3 (Strong) Rooted upland plants are *absent* from the streambed/thalweg.

Upland Species	Notes	Photo ID

Notes on rooted upland plants:

6. Algal cover

Mark the appropriate box for the percent of the streambed covered by live or dead algae on the streambed.

☐ Not detected ☐ 10 to 40% cover

☐ ≤2% cover ☐ >40% cover

☐ 2 to 10% cover ☐ Check here if algae *exclusively* appears to have been deposited from an upstream source, and *no* local growth is evident.

Notes on algal cover on the streambed:

7. Differences in vegetation

____ (0-3) *Half-scores (0.5, 1.5, 2.5) are allowed.*	Compare the composition and density of plants growing on the banks and riparian areas to plants in the adjacent uplands. For this indicator, an upland species is not defined by its wetland indicator status, but rather by its location relative to the channel. 0 (Poor) No compositional or density differences in vegetation are present between the banks and the adjacent uplands. 1 (Weak) Vegetation growing along the reach may occur in greater densities or grow more vigorously than vegetation in the adjacent uplands, but there are no dramatic compositional differences between the two. 2 (Moderate) A distinct riparian corridor exists along part of the reach. Riparian vegetation is interspersed with upland vegetation along the length of the reach. 3 (Strong) Dramatic compositional differences in vegetation are present between the banks and the adjacent uplands. A distinct riparian vegetation corridor exists along the entire reach. Riparian, aquatic, or wetland species dominate the length of the reach.
Notes on differences in vegetation:	

8. Riffle-pool sequence

____ (0-3) *Half-scores (0.5, 1.5, 2.5) are allowed.*	Evaluate the prevalence of riffles, pools, and other microhabitats in the streambed. 0 (Poor) No riffle-pool sequences observed. 1 (Weak) Mostly has areas of pools or riffles. 2 (Moderate) Represented by a less frequent number of riffles and pools. Distinguishing the transition between riffles and pools is difficult to observe. 3 (Strong) Demonstrated by a frequent number of structural transitions (e.g., riffles followed by pools) along the entire reach. There is an obvious transition between riffles and pools.
Notes about riffle-pool sequence:	

Photo log

Indicate if any other photographs taken during the assessment:

Photo ID	Description

Additional notes about the assessment:

Model classification:

☐ Ephemeral
☐ At least intermittent
☐ Intermittent
☐ Less than perennial
☐ Perennial
☐ Needs more information